Small-scale Methodology

Lightweight two and three wheeled personal transportation

Version 01.0

Sectoral scope(s): 07
TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contents

1. Introduction ........................................................................................................................................4
2. Scope, applicability, and entry into force .........................................................................................4
   2.1. Scope ........................................................................................................................................4
   2.2. Applicability ...............................................................................................................................4
   2.3. Entry into force ............................................................................................................................6
   2.4. Applicability of sectoral scopes .................................................................................................6
3. Normative references ..........................................................................................................................6
4. Definitions ...........................................................................................................................................6
5. Baseline and Project Impact methodology .........................................................................................8
   5.1. Project boundary ..........................................................................................................................8
   5.2. Baseline scenarios ......................................................................................................................9
   5.3. Project Impact Scenarios ...........................................................................................................9
   5.4. Additionality Demonstration .......................................................................................................9
      5.4.1. Activities that are automatically additional ........................................................................9
      5.4.2. Other activities ....................................................................................................................10
   5.5. Baseline emissions ....................................................................................................................10
      5.5.1. Option 1: Ex-post survey of baseline travel modes ..............................................................10
      5.5.2. Option 2: Baseline emissions based on public transportation (excluding cars, taxis and motorcycles) as benchmark .................................................................................................11
      5.5.3. Option 3: Based on a survey of users of e-bikes, e-scooters and users of bicycle sharing programs .........................................................................................................................12
      5.5.4. Option 4: Based on recent national household travel surveys of not more than three years predating the date of calculations matched to operator GPS results ........................................................................................................................................12
   5.6. Project Impact Emission Reductions ............................................................................................13
      5.6.1. Option 5: Based on the Project Impact noted under 5.3.21, where an increase in Public Transport participation results from improved access provided by micromobility transport options ........................................................................................................................................13
      5.6.2. Option 6: Based on the Project Impact noted under 5.3.22, where a decrease in car ownership results from improved access provided by micromobility transport options ........................................................................................................................................14
   5.7. Project emissions .......................................................................................................................16
AMS-III.BM.
Small-scale Methodology: Lightweight two and three wheeled personal transportation
Version 01.0
Sectoral scope(s): 07

5.8. Leakage ..................................................................................................................17
5.9. Emission reductions ...............................................................................................17
5.10. Changes required for methodology implementation in 2nd and 3rd crediting periods .....19
5.11. Data and parameters not monitored ......................................................................19

6. Monitoring methodology ..............................................................................................21
   6.1. Data and parameters monitored ...........................................................................21

Document information ....................................................................................................24
1. Introduction

1. The following table describes the key elements of the methodology.

Table 1. Methodology key elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Typical projects</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction of new and/or expansion of existing bicycle lanes,</td>
<td>Construction of new and/or expansion of existing bicycle lanes, bicycle sharing programs (through dockless bicycles or sharing stations), bicycle parking areas; introduction of e-bikes, e-scooters or tricycles; and/or implementation of new and/or expansion of existing passenger transportation services based on tricycles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHG emissions mitigation action</td>
<td>Technology and/ or fuel switching: Replacement of more GHG-intensive transportation modes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Scope, applicability, and entry into force

2.1. Scope

2. This methodology applies to project activities that shift the mode of transport of urban passengers to mechanical bicycles, tricycles, e-bikes, e-scooters or e-tricycles, by implementing related infrastructure in an urban area such as bicycle lanes, bicycle and e-scooter sharing programs (through dockless bicycles or e-scooter sharing stations) and bicycle parking areas. Implementation of new and/or expansion of an existing passenger transportation service based on tricycles or e-scooters is also eligible. Activities to introduce e-bikes, e-scooters or tricycles (such as e-bikes belonging to a bicycle sharing programs or promotion of individual ownership) are also eligible.

2.2. Applicability

3. The table below illustrates which types of project activities are eligible under this methodology (see also related baseline options under section 5.4).

Table 2. Types of projects eligible under this methodology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of project</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Baseline options applicable (as per section 5.4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type 1</td>
<td>Construction of new bicycle lanes</td>
<td>Option 1 ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2</td>
<td>Extension of the existing bicycle lanes</td>
<td>Option 1 ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 3</td>
<td>Implementation of new bicycle sharing program (through dockless bicycles or</td>
<td>Option 1 ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sharing stations)</td>
<td>Option 2 ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 4</td>
<td>Expansion of an existing bicycle sharing program (through increasing the</td>
<td>Option 1 ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>number of dockless bicycles and/or through increasing the size or number</td>
<td>Option 2 ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>of bicycle sharing stations)</td>
<td>Option 3 ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of project</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Baseline options applicable (as per section 5.4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type 5</td>
<td>Construction of new bicycle parking areas. These parking areas may be connected to public transport (subway stations, bus stops, light-rail train stations, etc.) or activity hubs (office towers, shopping centers, markets, venues, etc.)</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 6</td>
<td>Expansion of the existing bicycle parking areas</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 7</td>
<td>Introduction of e-bikes</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 8</td>
<td>Implementation of a new transportation service or expansion of an existing one based on tricycles</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 9</td>
<td>Implementation of e-scooter sharing program</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 10</td>
<td>Introduction of e-scooters through individual ownership</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 11</td>
<td>Implementation of a new transportation service or expansion of an existing one based on e-scooters</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. If one or more measures described in Table 2 above have already been implemented within the project boundary (e.g. within the same city as the proposed project activity), it shall be ensured that these measures are identified and taken into account when determining the baseline.

5. Any combination of measures described in Table 2 is also eligible. If multiple measures are implemented as part of the project activity, it shall be ensured that any interactive effects between the measures are identified and taken into account to avoid double-counting. The project proponent should make an analysis of the interactive effects and account for them following the provisions from the applicable version of the “Guidelines for the consideration of interactive effects for the application of multiple CDM methodologies for a programme of activities”, considering that interactive effects could occur, for example, in the following situations:

(a) When there is an overlap in users between different measures of the project; or

(b) When several measures rely on the same information when estimating emission reductions; or

(c) When relying on default factors for setting the baseline.

6. If the project activity involves the construction of on-road bicycle lanes, the width of any existing dedicated bus lane shall not be reduced in such a way that the traffic would be altered.

7. If the project activity involves the deployment of shared e-Scooters and charging networks, it shall be clearly demonstrated that the charging stations are within the control of the e-Scooter project owner and that charging stations and networks are not under any existing carbon certification scheme through the life of the project activity.
8. If the project activity involves the charging of e-Scooters from renewable energy source(s), it shall be clearly demonstrated and positively evidenced by the Project Owner that the renewable energy source(s) are not certified independently under any other carbon certification scheme on an ongoing basis through the life of the project activity.

9. The applicability conditions included in the tools referred to below also apply.

10. Measures are limited to those that result in emission reductions of less than or equal to 60 kt CO₂ equivalent annually.

2.3. Entry into force

11. The date of entry into force is the date of the publication of the methodology i.e. DD Month YYYY.

2.4. Applicability of sectoral scopes

12. For validation and verification of CDM projects and programme of activities by a designated operational entity (DOE) using this methodology, application of sectoral scope 07 is mandatory.

3. Normative references

11. The methodology also refers to the latest approved version of the following methodological tools and standards:

   (a) Methodological tool "Demonstration of additionality of small-scale project activities" (TOOL21);

   (b) Methodological tool "Baseline, project and/or leakage emissions from electricity consumption and monitoring of electricity generation" (TOOL05);

   (c) Methodological tool “Baseline emissions for modal shift measures in urban passenger transport” (TOOL18);

   (d) Methodological tool “Additionality of first-of-its-kind project activities” (TOOL23);

   (e) Standard “Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities”;

   (f) Methodological tool “Assessment of the validity of the original/current baseline and update of the baseline at the renewal of the crediting period” (TOOL11).

4. Definitions

12. The definitions contained in the Glossary of CDM terms shall apply.

13. For the purpose of this methodology, the following definitions apply:

   (a) Bicycle lanes - dedicated lanes for mobility using bicycles, e-bikes or tricycles. These lanes may be located on-road, on sidewalks or may be dedicated lanes in other areas (such as in a park, on a river’s bank, etc.). These lanes shall be clearly identifiable, signalled and shall be durable;

   (b) Bicycle parking areas - areas dedicated for parking bicycles, e-bikes or tricycles. The parking areas may be composed of stands or racks in open or closed areas or may be dedicated and secured rooms, and the parking service may be free or
charge a fee. Parking areas may also be implemented in connection with public transportation modes (e.g. subway, rail or bus stations) or other activity hubs;

(c) **Bicycle sharing station** - sites located in urban areas where the users can check in and out bicycles. It is usually composed by docking spaces (stations with docks where bikes are parked and locked), terminals (places where users can get information about the system) and the bicycles;

(d) **Dockless bicycles** - bicycles that belong to a sharing program that doesn’t make use of docking spaces, allowing users to check in and out the bicycles in any location within a geographical boundary;
(e) **E-bikes** - bicycles that can utilize an electric motor to assist propulsion by pedalling. The e-bikes should comply with any national standards or regulations for e-bikes.

(f) **E-scooters** – Scooters that utilize an electric motor for propulsion. The e-scooter should comply with national standards or regulations for e-scooters, and be certified independently as to endurance (mileage) and operational lifespan.

(g) **Infrastructure** - under this methodology, means bicycle lanes (new or extension of existing), bicycle parking areas (new or expansion of existing areas) and bicycle sharing stations (new or expansion of the existing stations);

(h) **Micromobility** in this context is defined as “Personal transportation using devices and vehicles weighing up to 350 kg and whose power supply, if any, is gradually reduced and cut off at a given speed limit which is no higher than 45 km/h. Micromobility includes the use of exclusively human-powered vehicles, such as bicycles, skates, skateboards and kick-scooters.

(i) **Replacement** in this context is defined as “The action or process of replacing passenger trips from the alternative baseline transport modes”.

(j) **Tricycles** - vehicles similar to the bicycles but having three wheels. Electric tricycles that run on electric power to assist pedalling are also eligible under this methodology.

5. **Baseline and Project Impact methodology**

5.1. **Project boundary**

14. The project boundary is the area in which the users of the infrastructure and/or of the promoted bicycles, e-scooter, tricycles, e-bikes or e-tricycles travel between origins and destinations.

15. If the project involves the use of e-bikes, e-scooters or e-tricycles, the project boundary also includes the electric grid and all physically connected power plants that supply electricity to the grid and/or captive power plants directly supplying electricity to the charging stations used to recharge the battery of e-bikes, e-scooters or e-tricycles.

16. If the project involves the increased PT participation due to the solution to first and last mile barriers, (Refer Section 5.3.21) the project boundary also extends to the radius of the average PT trip calculated as having been caused by accessibility improvement, in terms of the average PT trips reported in surveys or official statistics on household travel or similar national statistical reporting of recent and relevant nature, not older than 5 years. Project participant may opt to not claim any emission reductions due to such increase in PT attributed to project activity.

17. The baseline emissions include CO$_2$ emissions from different modes of transport that the users of the bicycles, e-bikes, e-scooters, tricycles and e-tricycles would have taken in the absence of the project activity.

18. Project emissions include CO$_2$ emissions from electricity consumption (e.g. to recharge the batteries and/or in operating the related infrastructure). N$_2$O and CH$_4$ emissions are excluded from the project boundary as the amount of these emissions are considered not significant.

19. It is possible that charging stations are fed with renewable energy (RE) either through the connected grid (in open access arrangement) or through dedicated RE power sources.
For RE supplied through grid in open access arrangement, quantity of electricity used shall be verified on the basis of supplier certifications by an independent certifier. The electricity supplied through dedicated RE sources shall be covered as explained in para 15 above.

5.2. Baseline scenarios

20. The baseline scenario is assumed to be the continuation of the use of existing modes of transport in the absence of the CDM project activity, which includes more GHG-intensive transport modes replaced by micromobility transport options (e-bikes, e-scooters or e-tricycles) of the project activity, as evidenced by academic studies and/or survey results.

5.3. Project Impact Scenarios (for calculating additional baseline emission reductions)

21. A further project impact that may be applied is the increase in public transport participation when micromobility projects can evidence an increase in such participation based on micromobility solving first and last mile transport issues and improve accessibility to public transport.

22. A further project impact that may be applied is the reduction in reliance on and ownership of private internal combustion engine vehicles and personal electric vehicle classes (BEV, PHEV, FCEV).

5.4. Additionality Demonstration

5.4.1. Activities that are automatically additional

23. The following measures, referred in Table 2, alone or in combination, are considered as automatically additional:

(a) Type 1 and Type 2 (i.e. construction of new bicycle lanes and extension of the existing bicycle lanes);

(b) Type 3, Type 4, and Type 9 (i.e. implementation of new or expansion of existing bicycle sharing programs), if the value paid when renting the bicycle is fully refundable upon return to the sharing station;

(c) Type 5 and Type 6 (i.e. construction of new or expansion of existing bicycle parking areas), if no charges are applied to park the bicycles.
5.4.2. Other activities

24. Other activities that do not satisfy the conditions under paragraph 22 above are considered additional if:

(a) The project activity complies with the criteria for demonstrating additionality of microscale project activities; or

(b) The first-of-its-kind barrier is demonstrated as per the methodological tool “Additionality of first-of-its-kind project activities” (TOOL23); or

(c) Activities that are type 7, 9, 10, 11 (i.e. introduction of e-bikes or e-scooters) and the share (penetration) of e-bikes or e-scooters in bicycle in use in the city is below or equal to 10% based on number of annual bicycle trips undertaken in the city or based on market share; or [special attention and feedback is requested from the stakeholders on increasing the penetration rate of technology from 5% to 10% here]

(d) It is demonstrated, through the application of the methodological tool “Demonstration of additionality of small-scale project activities” (TOOL21), that at least one barrier would prevent the implementation of the project activity.\(^1\)

5.5. Baseline emissions

25. Baseline emissions are the emissions resulting from transportation of passengers in the absence of the project activity. It is differentiated per baseline modes of transport (relevant travel modes) that the project activity users would have used in the absence of the project activity. One of the options below shall be applied for baseline emissions.

5.5.1. Option 1: Ex-post survey of baseline travel modes

26. This option is suitable for all types indicated in Table 2.

27. Under this option, baseline emissions cover the emissions which would have been caused by the user of the infrastructure (bicycle lanes, bicycle sharing program, parking areas) and/or of the e-bikes, e-scooters, e-tricycles in absence of the project from origin (O) to destination (D), where the O and D points of the trip are assumed to be equal for both the baseline and the project scenarios.

28. Baseline emissions are determined by applying Steps 1 to 4 from the latest approved version of the methodological tool “Baseline emissions for modal shift measures in urban passenger transport” (TOOL18), using parameters estimated based on data collected during the survey in the year 1 and optionally in the year 4 of the crediting period. The survey shall be conducted with the users of the infrastructure, bicycle sharing program or new tricycles, e-bikes, e-scooters or e-tricycles.

29. The vehicle categories index \(i\) indicated in Step 1 of the methodological tool “Baseline emissions for modal shift measures in urban passenger transport” (TOOL18) shall be

---

\(1\) When assessing the investment barrier, the investment analysis should be undertaken from the perspective of the operator/investor of the bike parking areas or bicycle sharing stations, reflecting the costs and revenues from the perspective of the operator/investor – meaning that the revenues from the parking fees and other sources (e.g. advertising) and the costs associated with the rent and maintenance of the parking area and/or the bicycle sharing station, security and personnel and the land cost and/or opportunity cost of land and/or fair value of the land shall be considered when conducting the investment analysis.
included, and “cycling” and “walking” should be considered as potential baseline “vehicle categories” with an emission factor of zero. If some vehicle categories are not explicitly identified or do not fit into the categories from the tool, they should be included in the survey as “others” and baseline emissions of this category are counted as zero. The survey shall be undertaken at locations of the project infrastructure and origin/destination of the cycling trip shall be substituted for “entry/exit station” in the methodological tool “Baseline emissions for modal shift measures in urban passenger transport” (TOOL18). The survey may be conducted with a sample of users in the case of the bicycle sharing program or new tricycles, e-bikes, e-scooters or e-tricycles.

30. When applying Step 4 of the methodological tool “Baseline emissions for modal shift measures in urban passenger transport” (TOOL18), the following provisions shall apply:

(a) Parameter \( P_y \) (Number of passengers travelled by the project system in year \( y \)) should be considered as number of trips on the new infrastructure / service per year as measured by counting, if necessary relying on sampling (sampling in accordance with the standard “Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities”);

(b) Parameter \( D_t \) (Average trip distance travelled by passengers) may be determined:

(i) from the survey with the users in the project;

(ii) as an average value for bicycle, tricycle, e-tricycle, e-scooter or e-bike trips (as relevant) from recent relevant official data or studies at the city level. Relevance of data in this context and in the context of this Methodology applicable to other similar provisions related to reliance on data or studies, shall be defined as not older than five years in terms of recency, or

(iii) by applying the default conservative value of 2.5 km for bicycle, or tricycle trips and 5 km for e-scooter, e-bike or e-tricycle trips.\(^2\)

5.5.2. **Option 2: Baseline emissions based on public transportation (excluding cars, taxis and motorcycles) as benchmark**

31. This option is suitable for Type 1 and Type 2 (i.e. construction of new or extension of existing bicycle lanes), Type 5 and Type 6 (i.e. construction of new or expansion of existing bicycle parking areas) and Type 7, 9, 10, 11 (i.e. Introduction of e-bikes or e-scooters).

32. Under this option, the modal shares of the public transportation in the city (excluding travels using passenger cars, motorcycles and taxis) and the corresponding \( \text{CO}_2 \) emissions are determined before the implementation of the project, using statistics from the transport authority or other credible studies. Steps 1 to 3 of the methodological tool “Baseline emissions for modal shift measures in urban passenger transport” (TOOL18) may be applied to complement existing data, if necessary. Also, the number of cycling trips prior to installation of the new infrastructure \( (N_{bicycles.BU}) \) shall be determined ex ante.

33. The baseline emissions are calculated considering the number of cycling, e-bike or e-scooter trips after installation of the new infrastructure or the introduction of e-bikes or e-scooters and the distance travelled by the users of the infrastructure or micromobility services.

---

\(^2\) According to the study “A Global High Shift Cycling Scenario”, prepared by the Institute for Transportation and Development Policy (ITDP) and by the Institute of Transportation Services (ITS) from UC Davis, a typical cycling trip distance is of 3 – 5 km and can be covered in 20 minutes using a bicycle; e-bikes can cover 10 km in 20 minutes.
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\[ BE_y = 0.9 \times (N_{bicycles,y} - N_{bicycles,Bl}) \times ADT_{u,y} \times EF_{BL,PT} \]  
Equation (1)

Where:
\[ BE_y \] = Baseline emissions in year y (tCO₂)
\[ 0.9 \] = Net-to-gross adjustment factor to account for ‘walking’ in the baseline
\[ N_{bicycles,y} \] = Number of bicycles trips travelling through the bicycle infrastructure in year y
\[ N_{bicycles,Bl} \] = Number of bicycle trips travelling through the location of the new bicycle infrastructure prior to implementation of the project activity
\[ ADT_{u,y} \] = Average distance travelled per trip by the user u of the infrastructure in year y (km)
\[ EF_{BL,PT} \] = Weighted average CO₂ emission factor per passenger-kilometer corresponding to public transportation-mix in the city (excluding travels by using passenger cars, motorcycles and taxis) (tCO₂/pkm), before the implementation of the project, using statistics from the transport authority or credible studies

5.5.3. Option 3: Based on a survey of users of e-bikes, e-scooters and users of bicycle sharing programs

34. This option is applicable to Type 3, Type 4 and Type 7, 9, 10, 11 (i.e. introduction of e-bikes or e-scooters).

35. Under this option, the baseline emission factor is determined through a survey of users of e-bike or e-scooter promotion programs or bicycle sharing programs \((EF_{BL,CO₂,survey})\) and the distance travelled will be monitored for each user of the programs \((DT_{u,y})\).

36. Baseline emissions are determined through the equation below:

\[ BE_y = EF_{BL,CO₂} \times \sum_{u} DT_{u,y} \]  
Equation (2)

Where:
\[ BE_y \] = Baseline emissions in year y (tCO₂)
\[ DT_{u,y} \] = Total distance travelled by the individual user u of the bicycle sharing program and/or the promoted e-bikes or e-scooters in year y (pkm)
\[ EF_{BL,CO₂} \] = Weighted average CO₂ emission factor per passenger-kilometer (all modes private and public) based on surveyconducted with users of e-bike or e-scooter promotion programs or bicycle sharing programs (tCO₂/pkm)

5.5.4. Option 4: Based on recent national household travel surveys of not more than three years predating the date of calculations matched to operator GPS results

37. This option is applicable to Type 7, 9, 10, 11 projects (i.e. introduction of e-bikes or e-scooters).

38. Under this option, a baseline emission factor is determined through direct data analysis of
an operator trip databases reflecting trips and distances recorded via GPS for any period of calculation, resulting in the total mileage travelled by the users of bike or e-scooter promotion programs or bicycle sharing programs ($DT_{u,y}$).

39. An Emission Factor will be established for all modes of transport replaced by trips made by users of bike or e-scooter, or bicycle sharing programs, based on publicly available emissions statistics, defining the make-up of baseline fleets, for all Other Modes of Transport replaced. ($EF_{BL,MT,CO2}$)

40. The Mode Switch factor for each Other Mode of Transport replaced (MS) will be established based on academic literature reviews and user surveys conducted in the year of calculating the emission reductions in percentage (%) terms for each Mode of Transport replaced as $MS\%$.

41. Baseline emissions are determined through the equation below for each Mode of Transport replaced, as Addends individually and in aggregate:

$$BE_y = \sum (DT_{u,y} \times MS\%) \times (EF_{BL,MT,CO2})$$

Equation (3)

Where:

- $BE_y$ = Baseline emissions in year $y$ for all replaced Modes of Transport (tCO$_2$)
- $DT_{u,y}$ = Total distance travelled by the individual user $u$ of the bicycle sharing program and/or of the promoted e-bikes or e-scooters in year $y$ (pkm)
- $EF_{BL,MT,CO2}$ = CO$_2$ emission factor per passenger-kilometer (for each Other Mode of Transport, private and public) based on official statistics.
- $MS\%$ = Percentage of mileage of replaced Modes of Transport by the bicycle sharing program and/or the promoted e-bikes or e-scooters, per Other Mode of Transport.

5.6. Additional baseline emission reduction (project impact emission reductions)

42. Project impact emission reductions are the reduced emissions resulting from transportation of passengers by Project Activity modes, including bicycles, e-Bikes and e-Scooters, as compared to transport modes that were used prior to the implementation of the Project Activity. It is based on a variation of travel taken by baseline modes of transport (relevant travel modes) that the project activity users would have used in the absence of the project activity. One or both of the options below shall be applied for calculating the additional baseline emission reductions.

5.6.1. Option 5 (additional baseline emission reduction): Based on the project impact noted under para 21, where an increase in public transport participation results from improved access provided by micromobility transport options.

43. This option is applicable to Type 7, 9, 10, 11 projects (i.e. introduction of e-bikes or e-scooters).

44. Under this option, additional Baseline Emission Reduction ($BE_{ya}$) is determined as follows:

45. The trip distance travelled by PT in the area of deployment will be identified from recent public statistics, such as national Household Travel Surveys, to define the total PT trip distance for PT users during the year. ($PT_{u,y}$)
46. The Mode Switch factor for increased PT trips and participation replacing Other Modes of Transport (MS) will be established based on academic literature reviews and user surveys conducted in the year of calculating the emission reductions in percentage (%) terms for each Other Mode of Transport replaced by PT trips as ($MS_{\%}$).

47. An adjustment will be made for the number of Micromobility Vehicles actually deployed in the area calculated under any licensing limitations ($VD_{act}$), if applicable, as compared to the optimal sustainable Deployable number of Micromobility Vehicles. ($VD_{opt}$) which is established on the basis of academic research and modelling, using the most conservative figure as confirmed by the Subject Matter Expert.

48. An Emission Factor will be established for the measurable increase in Public Transport participation due to the availability of shared Micromobility programs, to provide first and last mile options to and from public transport (PT) hubs, ($EF_{PT,CO2}$) and replacing Other Modes of Transport emissions ($EF_{BLOMT,CO2}$), based on recent emission statistics produced by national statistics agencies.

49. Additional baseline emission reduction (project impact emissions) are determined through the equation below for each Mode of Transport replaced by PT, as individual Addends, in aggregate:

$$BE_{ya} = (PT_{u,y} \times MS_{\%}) \times (VD_{act} / VD_{opt}) \times \sum (EF_{BLOMT,CO2} - EF_{PT,CO2})$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{Equation (4)}

Where:

- $BE_{ya}$: Additional baseline emission reduction (project impact emissions) in year $y$ for all replaced modes of transport (tCO$_2$) caused by the project impact of increased PT participation
- $PT_{u,y}$: Total distance travelled by PT users in year $y$ (pkm)
- $EF_{BLOMT,CO2}$: CO$_2$ emission factor per passenger-kilometer (by each Other mode of Transport, private and public) based on official statistics.
- $EF_{PT,CO2}$: CO$_2$ emission factor per passenger-kilometer (for PT)
- $MS_{\%}$: Percentage of increase in PT trips compared to Other Modes of Transport
- $VD_{act}$: Actual number of Micromobility vehicles deployed
- $VD_{opt}$: Optimal number of Micromobility vehicles deployed

5.6.2. Option 6 (additional baseline emission reduction): Based on the project impact noted under para 22, where a decrease in car ownership results from improved access provided by micromobility transport options.

50. This option is applicable to Type 7, 9, 10, 11 projects (i.e. introduction of e-bikes or e-scooters).

51. Under this option, additional Baseline Emission factor ($BE_{ya}$) is determined as follows:

52. The total Internal Combustion Engine (ICE) fleet number is sourced from government statistics and industry associations. ($VICE_{u,y}$)

53. The total annual ICE fleet increase is sourced from government statistics and industry
associations, in percentage terms. (VICE\%)

54. Total ICE fleet (VICE\textsubscript{u,y}) and percentage increase (VICE\%) are calculated for the area of the project deployment based on national population statistics, including personal light vehicle/ICE per population basis.

55. The percentage of car ownership reduction (ICERED\%) and avoided ICE procurement (ICEAV\%) are established on the basis of user surveys and academic literature findings, and these percentages are verified by an independent Subject Matter Expert to determine the most conservative percentages and the average between upper and lower bounds.

56. An average lifespan LCA based emission is calculated for both ICE (ICE\textsubscript{ica}) and micromobility vehicles (DV\textsubscript{ica}), using LCA results reported in academic literature with adjustments for local conditions including fleet age, engine sizes, vehicle mass, travel behaviours and trip distributions, among others. LCA results are verified by an independent Subject Matter Expert.

57. The Difference between the Minuend (ICE\textsubscript{ica}) and the Subtrahend (DV\textsubscript{ica}) is calculated for the total number of ICE vehicles reduced and ICE avoided, reduced to an annual basis from the total lifespan assumed age of 15 years.

58. An adjustment will be made for the number of Micromobility Vehicles actually deployed in the area calculated under any licensing limitations (VD\textsubscript{act}), if applicable, as compared to the optimal sustainable Deployable number of Micromobility Vehicles. (VD\textsubscript{opt}), which is established on the basis of academic research and modelling, using the most conservative figure as confirmed by the Subject Matter Expert.

59. A further adjustment is made for the total number of switchable trips possible from ICE. The number of switchable trips is delimited by the average distance for each class of micromobility vehicles calculated against public recent travel statistics for the area of deployment being calculated. (MS\%)

60. A further adjustment is made for the total number of ICE trips sourced from public recent travel statistics for the area of deployment being calculated. (ICE\%)

61. Additional baseline emission reduction (Project Impact emissions) are determined through the equation below:

\[
B_{E_{yb}} = \frac{[(VICE_{u,y}) \times (ICERED\%) \times ((ICE\textsubscript{ica})-(DV\textsubscript{ica})] + [(VICE_{u,y}) \times (VICE\%) \times (ICE\textsubscript{ica}) \times (ICEAV\%) \times ((ICE\textsubscript{ica})-(DV\textsubscript{ica}) \times ((VD\textsubscript{act})/(VD\textsubscript{opt})) \times (MS\%) \times (ICE\%)}}{Equation (5)}
\]

Where:

- \(B_{E_{yb}}\) = Additional baseline emission reduction (Project Impact emissions) in year \(y\) for all replaced Modes of Transport (tCO\textsubscript{2}) caused by the Project Impact of reduced car (ICE) ownership.
- \(VICE_{u,y}\) = Total number of ICE in area of calculation.
- \(ICERED\%)\) = Average ICE ownership reduction factor.
- \(ICE\textsubscript{ica}\) = Lifespan GHG emissions footprint for ICE
- \(DV\textsubscript{ica}\) = Lifespan GHG emissions footprint for micromobility vehicle
- \(ICEAV\%)\) = Annual rate of increase in ICE purchases
5.7. Project emissions

Project emissions are determined based on the amount of electricity consumed to recharge the batteries of e-bikes, e-scooters, or e-tricycles ($EC_{R,y}$) and associated infrastructure to capture both direct and indirect fuel emission components, using Equation (1) from the methodological tool “Baseline, project and/or leakage emissions from electricity consumption and monitoring of electricity generation” (TOOL05), unless recharging by renewable energy is certified and confirmed at any confirmed percentage of the energy mix used.
63. The electricity consumed to recharge the batteries \( EC_{PJ,y} \) may be determined:\(^3\)
(a) By directly measuring the electricity consumed by all e-bikes, e-scooters or e-tricycles included in the project; or
(b) Alternatively, at a zero rate based on renewable energy certification in the manner prescribed in Section 5.1 – 17.
(c) Alternatively, assuming a default consumption of 0.015 kWh/km\(^4\) travelled. In this situation, the electricity consumed is determined according to the equation below:\(^5\)

\[
EC_{PJ,y} = 0.015 \times \sum_u DT_{u,y} \tag{4}
\]

Where:

\[
EC_{PJ,y} = \text{Quantity of electricity consumed to recharge the batteries of e-bikes, e-scooters or e-tricycles in year } y \text{ (kWh)}
\]

\[
DT_{u,y} = \text{Total distance travelled by the individual user } u \text{ of the bicycle sharing program and/or of the promoted e-bikes e-scooters or e-tricycles in year } y \text{ (km)}
\]

5.8. Leakage

64. Leakage does not have to be taken into account.

5.9. Emission reductions

65. Emission reductions are calculated as follows:

\[
BE_y = BE_{y,t} + BE_{y,a} + BE_{y,b}
\]

\[
ER_y = BE_y - PE_y \tag{5}
\]

Where:

\[
ER_y = \text{Emission reductions in year } y \text{ (t CO}_2\text{)}
\]

\[
BE_y = \text{Baseline emissions in year } y \text{ (t CO}_2\text{)}
\]

\[
PE_y = \text{Project emissions in year } y \text{ (t CO}_2\text{)}
\]

---

\(^3\) Project proponents are encouraged to submit additional proposals through a request for revision of the methodology.

\(^4\) This parameter depends on a number of factors, such as terrain, level of assistance from batteries to offset pedaling set by the cyclist, weight of the cyclist, weight of the bicycle, outside temperature, direction and speed of the wind, type of battery, efficiency of the motor. Typically, a standard 36V and 10Ah e-bike consumes between 7.5 – 15 Wh/km.
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* For projects developed under Option 1, $DT_{a,y}$ is determined following the provisions from paragraph 25(b).
5.10. Changes required for methodology implementation in 2nd and 3rd crediting periods

66. Project participants shall apply the latest approved version of the methodological tool “Assessment of the validity of the original/current baseline and update of the baseline at the renewal of the crediting period” (TOOL11).

5.11. Data and parameters not monitored

67. In addition to the parameters listed in the tables below, the provisions on data and parameters not monitored in the tools referred to in this methodology apply.

Data / Parameter table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data / parameter:</th>
<th>EF_{BL,PT}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data unit:</td>
<td>tCO_2 / pkm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Weighted average CO_2 emission factor per passenger-kilometer corresponding to public transportation-mix in the city (excluding travels using passenger cars, motorcycles and taxis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of data:</td>
<td>Official statistics from the transport authority or published studies conducted by a third party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement procedures (if any):</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any comment:</td>
<td>Steps 1 to 3 of the methodological tool “Baseline emissions for modal shift measures in urban passenger transport” (TOOL18) may be applied to complement existing data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If the data from the statistics or from the studies only allow the determination of the activity levels in terms of pkm (passenger-kilometer), a conservative default value of 50 gCO_2/pkm for buses and 0.1 kWh/pkm for metro can be used (both values based on the performance analysis benchmarks from ACM0016).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If the average CO_2 emission factor per passenger-kilometer determined is significantly higher than 50 gCO_2/pkm for buses and 0.1 kWh/pkm for metro, these values shall be further justified in accordance with the guidance in section 4.7 “Data and parameters” of the “General guidelines for SSC CDM methodologies”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data / Parameter table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data / parameter:</th>
<th>N_{bicycles, BL}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data unit:</td>
<td>Number of bicycle trips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Number of bicycle trips travelling through the location of the new bicycle infrastructure (Type 1 or Type 2) or parked in the area of influence e.g. surroundings of new bicycle parking area within a defined radius of 10 km for bicycles the (Type 5 or Type 6) prior to implementation of the project activity in a year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of data:</td>
<td>Measured directly and/or based on a sample basis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
*** Measurement procedures (if any): ***

In direct measurement method, this parameter is determined through sensors installed in the location that counts the number of bicycles riding in the lane or the number of bicycles parked in the parking area.

In a sampling-based method, visual counting methods or camera-based methods may also be applied. Any sampling-based methods shall be in accordance with the standard “Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities”.

*** Any comment: ***

- 

---

### Data / Parameter table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data / parameter</th>
<th>$E_{BL,CO2}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data unit:</td>
<td>tCO$_2$/pkm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Weighted average CO$_2$ emission factor per passenger-kilometer in the baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of data:</td>
<td>Survey with users of e-bike or e-scooter promotion programs and bicycle sharing programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement procedures (if any):</td>
<td>The survey’s questionnaire shall be designed to determine the users travel modes (all modes private and public) and trip length prior to the project activity in terms of average emissions per passenger-kilometer (gCO$_2$/pkm). Sampling shall be in accordance with the standard “Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities”. The survey shall be conducted in the year 1 and optionally in the year 4 of the crediting period</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The emission factor is determined by applying Steps 1 to 3 from the latest approved version of the methodological tool "Baseline emissions for modal shift measures in urban passenger transport" (TOOL18), using parameters estimated based on data collected during the survey.

The vehicle categories index $i$ indicated in Step 1 of the tool shall be included, and “cycling” and “walking” should be considered as a potential baseline mode. If some vehicle categories are not explicitly identified or do not fit into the categories from the tool, they should be included in the survey as "others" and baseline emissions of this category are counted as zero.

Average CO$_2$ emission factor per passenger-kilometer in the baseline determined through the survey as above shall be cross checked with values of typical share of travel modes and trip length reported in literature (e.g. published reports, studies pertaining to the project region). If local studies are not available, values reported in Appendix 5 of the "Manual for Calculating Greenhouse Gas Benefits of Global Environment Facility Transportation Projects" prepared by the ITDP and available at <https://www.thegef.org/publications/manual-calculating-ghg-benefits-gef-transportation-projects> may be used for cross-checking$. If the mode shares and trip length determined through the survey is conservative or comparable to literature values, no further action is required, otherwise project proponent shall demonstrate that the outcomes of the survey are representative and reliable, explaining the factors that lead to differences (e.g. based on sample based checks of evidences such as fuel receipts of cars, or travel tickets for bus or metro).

6. Monitoring methodology

6.1. Data and parameters monitored

38. The monitoring methodology will require the monitoring of different parameters depending on the approach selected to calculate the baseline emissions:

(a) If the project participants decide to calculate baseline emissions based on section 5.4.1, the relevant parameters indicated in the methodological tool "Baseline emissions for modal shift measures in urban passenger transport" (TOOL18) shall be measured for years 1 and optionally on year 4 of the crediting period. In doing so, the guideline “Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programmes of activities” shall be followed;

(b) For the other options, the monitored parameters are indicated in the tables below.

39. In addition to the parameters listed in the tables below, the provisions on data and parameters monitored in the tools referred to in this methodology apply.

6 Literature values are not a substitute for surveys and are only used for cross check purposes.
Data / Parameter table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data / Parameter:</th>
<th>( ADT_{u,y} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data unit:</td>
<td>Km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Average distance travelled per trip by the user ( u ) of the infrastructure that would not have used the bicycle or e-bike or e-scooter in the absence of the project in year ( y ).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of data:</td>
<td>(a) Estimated via survey of the users of the infrastructure; or (b) Directly measured via GPS; or (c) As a conservative approach, the average trip distance can be assumed as 2.5 km for bicycles and 5 km for e-bikes or e-scooters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement procedures (if any):</td>
<td>The survey shall be conducted with a representative sample of users of the bicycle lanes or bicycles/e-scooter parking areas, following the standard &quot;Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities&quot;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring frequency:</td>
<td>The survey shall be conducted in the year 1 and optionally in the year 4 of the crediting period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QA/QC procedures:</td>
<td>Average distance travelled per trip by the user ( u ) when determined through a survey shall be cross checked with values of travel modes and trip length reported in literature (e.g. published reports, studies pertaining to the project region). If local studies are not available, values reported in Appendix 5 of the &quot;Manual for Calculating Greenhouse Gas Benefits of Global Environment Facility Transportation Projects&quot; prepared by the ITDP and available at <a href="https://www.thegef.org/publications/manual-calculating-ghg-benefits-gef-transportation-projects">https://www.thegef.org/publications/manual-calculating-ghg-benefits-gef-transportation-projects</a> may be used for cross-checking. If the other comparative transport mode shares and trip lengths measuring theoretical replacement of distances as a basis for calculating the emissions reductions determined through the survey are conservative or comparable to literature values no further action is required, otherwise project proponent shall demonstrate that the outcome of the survey is representative and reliable explaining the factors that lead to differences (e.g. based on sample based checks of evidences such as fuel receipts of cars or travel tickets for bus or metro).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any comment:</td>
<td>Literature values are not a substitute for surveys and are only used for cross check purposes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data / Parameter table 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data / Parameter:</th>
<th>( N_{bicycles,y} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data unit:</td>
<td>Number of bicycles trips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Number of bicycles trips travelling through the bicycle infrastructure in year ( y ) or parked in the bicycle parking area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of data:</td>
<td>Measured and recorded directly and/or based on a sample basis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7 Literature values are not a substitute for surveys and are only used for cross check purposes.
In direct recording method, this parameter is determined through sensors installed in the location that counts the number of bicycles riding in the lane or the number of bicycles parked in the parking area.

In a sampling-based method, visual counting methods or camera-based methods may also be applied. Any sampling-based methods shall be in accordance with the standard “Sampling and surveys for CDM project activities and programme of activities”.

| Measurement procedures (if any): | Measured continuously and consolidated daily if direct measurement methods are used |
| Monitoring frequency: | |
| QA/QC procedures: | - |
| Any comment: | - |

**Data / Parameter table 6.**

| Data / Parameter: | $DT_{u,y}$ |
| Data unit: | Km |
| Description: | Total distance travelled by the individual user $u$ of the e-bike promotion program, e-scooters or bicycle sharing program in year $y$ |
| Source of data: | (a) For programs that promoted e-bikes or e-scooters, data shall be sourced from mobile apps that record the distance travelled based on GPS;  
(b) For bicycle sharing programs, data shall be sourced from mobile apps that record the distance travelled based on GPS or from sensors installed in bicycles.  
(c) For bicycle sharing programs using docking spaces, if the data for (b) are not available, then trip distance may be conservatively assumed as the straight distance between the check-out and check-in docking spaces of the trip |
| Measurement procedures (if any): | (a) When using mobile apps, the user shall turn on the app when starting the travel and turn off when the travel finishes;  
(b) When using sensors, the distance travelled shall be continuously measured |
| Monitoring frequency: | The parameter shall be measured while travelling. The values shall be aggregated monthly for each individual user $u$ |
| QA/QC procedures: | A unique identification number shall be assigned to each user at the time of registering with the e-bike, e-scooter or bicycle sharing program. The manager of the program shall implement measures to link the distance travelled by each of the users to its unique identification number.  
The records shall be made in a centralized database that allows the project proponent to have access to the information related to the users’ travels |
| Any comment: | Data shall be controlled for outliers, e.g. trips with travel distances longer than three standard deviations above the mean shall be excluded |
Data / Parameter table 7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data / Parameter:</th>
<th>( E_{\text{PJ,Y}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data unit:</td>
<td>kWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>Quantity of electricity consumed to recharge the batteries of e-bikes, e-scooters or e-tricycles in year ( y )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of data:</td>
<td>Continuous measurements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement procedures (if any):</td>
<td>As per the latest version of the methodological tool “Baseline, project and/or leakage emissions from electricity consumption and monitoring of electricity generation” (TOOL05). When applying the tool, requirements for ( E_{\text{PJ,grid,Y}} ) and/or ( E_{\text{PJ,j,Y}} ) specified in the tool should apply to electricity consumed from the grid and electricity consumed from the captive power plant, or alternatively certified as rated zero emissions and fully renewably sourced, whichever applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring frequency:</td>
<td>As per the methodological tool “Baseline, project and/or leakage emissions from electricity consumption and monitoring of electricity generation” (TOOL05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QA/QC procedures:</td>
<td>As per the methodological tool “Baseline, project and/or leakage emissions from electricity consumption and monitoring of electricity generation” (TOOL05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any comment:</td>
<td>If this parameter is determined through paragraph 33(b) above, only the parameter “Total distance travelled by the individual user ( u ) of the infrastructure and/or of the promoted e-bikes or e-scooters in year ( y ) (( DT_{u,Y} )) needsto be monitored^a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Safeguards

The project shall not undermine or conflict with any national, sub-national or local regulations or guidance for e-mobility. The project shall document the national, regional and local regulatory framework for provision of mobility of the type the project provides in the project boundary.

---
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For projects developed under Option 1, $DT_{u,v}$ is determined following the provisions from paragraph 25(b).