

Annex Q

Gold Standard Local Consultation Stakeholder Report



Gold Standard Local Stakeholder Consultation Report

Gold Standard Passport 2.1 July 2009

Contents

A. Project Description

1. Project eligibility under Gold Standard
2. Current project status

B. Design of Stakeholder Consultation Process

1. Description of physical meeting(s)
 - i. Agenda
 - ii. Non-technical summary
 - iii. Invitation tracking table
 - iv. Text of individual invitations
 - v. Text of public invitations
2. Description of other consultation methods used

C. Consultation Process

1. Participants' in physical meeting(s)
 - i. List
 - ii. Evaluation forms
2. Pictures from physical meeting(s)
3. Outcome of consultation process
 - i. Minutes of physical meeting(s)
 - ii. Minutes of other consultations
 - iii. Assessment of all comments
 - iv. Revisit sustainable development assessment
 - v. Summary of changes to project design based on comments

D. Sustainable Development Assessment

1. Own sustainable development assessment
 - i. 'Do no harm' assessment
 - ii. Sustainable development matrix
2. Stakeholders blind sustainable development matrix
3. Consolidated sustainable development matrix

E. Discussion on Sustainability Monitoring Plan

F. Description of Stakeholder Feedback Round

Annex 1. Original participants list

Annex 2. Original feedback forms

SECTION A. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A. 1. Project eligibility under the Gold Standard

[See Toolkit 1.2 and Toolkit Annex C]

A. 2. Current project status

Provide information on the status of key project cycle stages (financing, equipment procurement, construction, commissioning) with dates where possible/ relevant.

Please note that if a project is already under construction, the project must apply for retroactive registration and a pre-feasibility assessment must be conducted.

[See Toolkit 2.5]

SECTION B. DESIGN OF STAKEHOLDER CONSULTATION PROCESS

B. 1. Design of physical meeting(s)

i. Agenda

Please ensure that at least the following points are covered but feel free to add more points as needed:

- Opening of the meeting
- Explanation of the project
- Questions for clarification about the project
- Blind SD exercise
- Discussion on monitoring SD
- Closure of the meeting

[See Toolkit 2.6.1 and Toolkit Annex J]

ii. Non-technical summary

Please be aware that carbon market specific terms may not be appropriate for the readers/ audience of this summary.

[See Toolkit 2.6 and Toolkit Annex J]

iii. Invitation tracking table

[See Toolkit 2.6 and Toolkit Annex J]

Category code	Organisation (if relevant)	Name of invitee	Way of invitation	Date of invitation	Confirmation received? Y/N

Please explain how you decided that the above organisations/ individuals are relevant stakeholders to your project. Also, please discuss how your invitation methods seek to include a broad range of stakeholders (e.g. gender, age, ethnicity).

iv. Text of individual invitations

[See Toolkit 2.6 and Toolkit Annex J]

v. Text of public invitations

[See Toolkit 2.6 and Toolkit Annex J]

B. 2. Description of other consultation methods used

If individuals and/ or entities (e.g. NGOs) are unable to attend the physical meeting, please discuss other methods that were used to solicit their feedback/ comments (e.g. questionnaires, phone calls, interviews).



SECTION C. CONSULTATION PROCESS

C. 1. Participants' in physical meeting(s)

i. List of participants

[See Toolkit 2.6.1 and Toolkit Annex J]

Please attach original participants' list (in original language) as Annex 1.

Participants list					
Date and time:					
Location:					
Category Code	Name of participant, job/ position in the community	Male/ Female	Signature	Organisation (if relevant)	Contact details

Comments accompanying Annex 1

ii. Evaluation forms

[See Toolkit 2.6.1, 2.6.2 and Toolkit Annex J]

Please add at least 4-5 representative samples in English.

Please attach original evaluation forms (in original language) as Annex 2.

Name	
What is your impression of the meeting?	
What do you like about the project?	
What do you not like about the project?	
Signature	

Comments accompanying Annex 2

C. 2. Pictures from physical meeting(s)

[See Toolkit 2.6 and 2.6.1]

C. 3. Outcome of consultation process

i. Minutes of physical meeting(s)

Please ensure that you include a summary of the meeting as well as all comments received.

[See Toolkit 2.6, 2.6.1, 2.6.2 and Toolkit Annex J]

ii. Minutes of other consultations

iii. Assessment of all comments

[See Toolkit 2.6]

Stakeholder comment	Was comment taken into account (Yes/ No)?	Explanation (Why? How?)

iv. Revisit sustainability assessment

Are you going to revisit the sustainable development assessment?	Yes	No
Please note that this is necessary when there are indicators scored 'negative' or if there are stakeholder comments that can't be mitigated	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
[See Toolkit 2.7]		

Give reasoning behind the decision

v. Summary of alterations based on comments

If stakeholder comments have been taken into account and any aspect of the project modified, then please discuss that here.

[See Toolkit 2.6.2, 2.8]

SECTION D. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT ASSESSMENT

D. 1. Own sustainable development assessment

i. 'Do no harm' assessment

[See Toolkit 2.4.1 and Toolkit Annex H]

Safeguarding principles	Description of relevance to my project	Assessment of my project risks breaching it (low, medium, high)	Mitigation measure
1			
2			
etc...			
Additional relevant critical issues for my project type	Description of relevance to my project	Assessment of relevance to my project (low, medium, high)	Mitigation measure
1			
2			
etc...			

ii. Sustainable development matrix

[See Toolkit 2.4.2 and Toolkit Annex I]

Indicator	Mitigation measure	Relevance to achieving MDG	Chosen parameter and explanation	Preliminary score
Gold Standard indicators of sustainable development	If relevant, copy mitigation measure from 'Do No Harm' assessment, and include mitigation measure used to neutralise a score of '-'	Check www.undp.org/mdg and www.mdgmonitor.org Describe how your indicator is related to local MDG goals	Defined by project developer	<u>Negative impact:</u> score '-' in case negative impact is not fully mitigated, score '0' in case impact is planned to be fully mitigated <u>No change in impact:</u> score '0'

				<u>Positive impact:</u> score '+'
Air quality				
Water quality and quantity				
Soil condition				
Other pollutants				
Biodiversity				
Quality of employment				
Livelihood of the poor				
Access to affordable and clean energy services				
Human and institutional capacity				
Quantitative employment and income generation				
Balance of payments and investment				
Technology transfer and technological self-reliance				

Comments accompanying own sustainable development matrix

D. 2. Stakeholders Blind sustainable development matrix

[See Toolkit 2.6.1]

Indicator	Mitigation measure	Relevance to achieving MDG	Chosen parameter and explanation	Preliminary score
Gold Standard indicators of sustainable development	If relevant, copy mitigation measure from 'Do No Harm' assessment, and include mitigation measure used to neutralise a score of '-'	Check www.undp.org/mdg and www.mdgmonitor.org Describe how your indicator is related to local MDG goals	Defined by project developer	<u>Negative impact:</u> score '-' in case negative impact is not fully mitigated, score '0' in case impact is planned to be fully mitigated <u>No change in impact:</u> score '0' <u>Positive impact:</u> score '+'
Air quality				
Water quality and quantity				
Soil condition				
Other pollutants				
Biodiversity				
Quality of employment				
Livelihood of the poor				
Access to affordable and clean energy services				

Human and institutional capacity				
Quantitative employment and income generation				
Balance of payments and investment				
Technology transfer and technological self-reliance				

Comments resulting from the stakeholders blind sustainable development matrix

Give analysis of difference between own sustainable development matrix and the one resulting from the blind exercise with stakeholders. Explain how both were consolidated.

D. 3. Consolidated sustainable development matrix

[See Toolkit 2.4.2]

Indicator	Mitigation measure	Relevance to achieving MDG	Chosen parameter and explanation	Preliminary score
Gold Standard indicators of sustainable development	If relevant, copy mitigation measure from 'Do No Harm' assessment, and include mitigation measure used to neutralise a score of '-'	Check www.undp.org/mdg and www.mdgmonitor.org Describe how your indicator is related to local MDG goals	Defined by project developer	<u>Negative impact:</u> score '-' in case negative impact is not fully mitigated, score '0' in case impact is planned to be fully mitigated <u>No change in impact:</u> score '0' <u>Positive impact:</u> score '+'
Air quality				
Water quality and quantity				
Soil condition				
Other pollutants				
Biodiversity				
Quality of employment				
Livelihood of the poor				
Access to affordable and clean energy services				
Human and institutional				

capacity				
Quantitative employment and income generation				
Balance of payments and investment				
Technology transfer and technological self-reliance				
Justification choices, data source and provision of references				
A justification paragraph and reference source is required for each indicator, regardless of score				
Air quality				
Water quality and quantity				
Soil condition				
Other pollutants				
Biodiversity				
Quality of employment				
Livelihood of the poor				
Access to affordable and clean energy services				
Human and institutional capacity				
Quantitative employment and income generation				
Balance of payments and investment				
Technology transfer and technological self-reliance				

References can be an academic or non-academic source, such as a university research document, a feasibility study report, EIA, relevant website, etc.

SECTION E. DISCUSSION ON SUSTAINABILITY MONITORING PLAN

[See Toolkit 2.4.3 and 2.6.1]

Discuss stakeholders' ideas on monitoring sustainable development indicators. Do people have ideas on how this could be done in a cost effective way? Are there ways in which stakeholders can participate in monitoring?

**SECTION F. DESCRIPTION OF THE DESIGN OF THE STAKEHOLDER
FEEDBACK ROUND**

[See Toolkit 2.11]

ANNEX 1. ORIGINAL PARTICIPANTS LIST

ANNEX 2. ORIGINAL EVALUATION FORMS